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Marx made some fruitful extensions to Hegel's philosophy of history and Hegel's analysis of modern society. However, Marx did not have the chances to meet Hegel himself and was far from knowing and understanding Hegel's complete work. He missed many implications of Hegel's work and misinterpreted completely the basic forces behind Hegel's concepts. In other context I even mentioned that most errors of Marx and the Marxists after Marx would have been avoided if they really had studied Hegel enough. What is the source of their difference of opinion on the topic of " New York: Herder and Herder, 1971. â€” 280 p. â€” ISBN-10: 0855140127; ISBN-13: 978-0855140120. The essays collected in this volume were mostly written in the 1960's, a time when the relationship of Marxism to its Hegelian origins was once more discussed at an intellectual level proper to the subject. During the preceding decade, all concerned had become obsessed with what was known as the Cold War. As a by-product of this concentration upon purely political issues, it was commonly supposed that Marx was of interest as a thinker mainly in so far as he prefigured the Russian Revolution and the Marx criticises Hegel's "Phenomenology": "Instead of treating self-consciousness as the self-consciousness of real men, living in a real, objective world and conditioned by it, Hegel transforms man into an attribute of self-consciousness. He turns the world upside down." Â Hegel's and Marx' views with respect to the ends of philosophy differ in the same way: "In Hegel...there is only one process, one systematic whole in which everything moves and has its being...Everything is both means and end to everything else. The universe is through and through purposive." In Marx: "Social movement [involves] volition. Volition depends on two sets of relations: relations between nature and man; and relations between man and man. In either case the point of departure [from Hegel] is human need.
Our suggestion is the Hegelian Marx. Hegel’s social and political philosophy, with its focus on recognition, desire, alienation, social freedom, and its critique of liberalism, was not only crucial to Marx. It has become one of the most productive ideas in critical theory today. In light of the impact of Hegelian Marxism on the history of the last century in its emancipatory as well as its totalitarian forms, return to its source has to take the form of a self-critical reassessment that can actualize its progressive potential in new ways. Such an elaboration of Hegel’s philosophy on Marx’s New York: Herder and Herder, 1971. â€” 280 p. â€” ISBN-10: 0855140127; ISBN-13: 978-0855140120. The essays collected in this volume were mostly written in the 1960’s, a time when the relationship of Marxism to its Hegelian origins was once more discussed at an intellectual level proper to the subject. During the preceding decade, all concerned had become obsessed with what was known as the Cold War. As a by-product of this concentration upon purely political issues, it was commonly supposed that Marx was of interest as a thinker mainly in so far as he prefigured the Russian Revolution and the Influences on Karl Marx are generally thought to have been derived from three sources, namely German idealist philosophy, French socialism and English and Scottish political economy. Immanuel Kant is believed to have had the greatest influence of any philosopher of modern times. Kantian philosophy was the basis on which the structure of Marxism was built particularly as it was developed by Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel. Hegel’s dialectical method, which was taken up by Karl Marx, was an extension of
Studies on Marx and Hegel (French: Études sur Marx et Hegel) is a 1955 book about the philosophers Karl Marx and Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel by the French philosopher Jean Hyppolite. Commentators have praised the book, which has been credited with showing Hegel's anticipation of existentialism. Hyppolite provides assessments of Hegel's The Phenomenology of Spirit (1807), including its discussion of the French Revolution. He also assesses Hegel's Science of Logic, Marx's Das Kapital (1867–1883), and If the Marxists want to change the world, Zizek wants us to first understand the world and he thinks Hegel can be of some help. Don’t just act; think, Zizek would say. Simply, Zizek wants us to move away from Marx back towards Hegel, so that we can better face a world that is becoming increasingly difficult to understand. 1.3k views · View 45 Upvoters. Related Questions. Is Zizek a postmodernist? Apart from his readings of Hegel, Marx and Lacan, what original idea is Slavoj Žižek best known for? What do you think Slavoj Žižek is wrong about, regarding Hegel? Is Slavoj Zizek just a bullshitter?
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